

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/214,868	01/14/1999	HEINZ EIPEL	47114	8102	
26474	7590 03/12/2003				
KEIL & W		EXAMINER			
	ECTICUT AVENUE, N ON, DC 20036	.W.	ALEXANDER, LYLE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743		
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
,	,	09/214,868	EIPEL ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Lyle A Alexander	1743					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The ply	pears on the cover she	t with the correspondence ac	idress				
THE I - External ferrore for the control of the con	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reployeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing adaptant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, r y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 e, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	December 2002 .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	,					
3)□ Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 13-21 is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗆	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🤼	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) 🗌 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2)	a)).	Stage				
14)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	l application).				
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest							
Attachment	i(s)							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(ce of Informal Patent Application (PTor: r:					
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev	ademark Office v. 04-01) Office Ad	tion Summary	Part of	Paper No. 23				

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The amendments to claim 13 "wherein the hydrophilic discontinuities. Hydrophilic measurement zones" are vague and indefinite to the intended structure. "The hydrophilic discontinuities" are not described in the claim and the intended structure not understood. Further, the structural relationship between the hydrophilic discontinuities and non-continuous hydrophobic coating is not understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 13-17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b,e) as being clearly anticipated by Goodwin, or Rava et al. respectively.

In light of the 35 USC 112 issues the claims are best understood as a hydrophilic measurement zone surrounded by a non-continuous hydrophobic coating/zone.

See the appropriate paragraph of paper 16 for the teachings of Goodwin and Rava et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/214,868

Art Unit: 1743

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodwin or Rava et al.

In light of the 35 USC 112 issues the claims are best understood as a hydrophilic measurement zone surrounded by a non-continuous hydrophobic coating/zone.

See the appropriate paragraph of paper 16 for the teachings of Goodwin and Rava et al.

Response to Arguments

The 12/16/02 remarks were not convincing.

Applicants state the prior art fails to teach a hydrophobic coating having discontinuities, which create separate hydrophobic zones around the hydrophilic measurement zone (e.g. the art does not teach discontinuities between the test wells). The Office maintains Goodwin and Rava et al. both meet these limitations. The art has a discontinuous hydrophobic region surrounding the hydrophilic zones. The hydrophobic region is an interspersed hydrophilic region making it discontinuous.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Parce et al. teach a solid support for analytical measurements.

Parce et al. teach in column 15 lines 1-5 "hydrophilic regions surrounded by hydrophobic barriers" which has been read on the instant claims. Parce et al. is silent to the claimed density of greater than 10 application points per square centimeter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 703-308-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9319 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

March 7, 2003